IT 05-0022-GIL 05/06/2005 ALTERNATIVE APPORTIONMENT

General Information Letter: Petition contains insufficient evidence of distortion caused by statutory apportionment methods to support grant of permission to use alternative apportionment.

May 6, 2005

Dear:

This is in response to your letter dated April 29, 2005, in which you request permission to use separate accounting rather than the statutorily-mandated apportionment formula, pursuant to Section 304(f) of the Illinois Income Tax Act (the "IITA"; 35 ILCS 101 *et seq.*). The nature of your letter and the information you have provided require that we respond with a General Information Letter, which is designed to provide general information, is not a statement of Department policy and is not binding on the Department. See 86 III. Adm. Code 1200.120(b) and (c), which may be found on the Department's web site at www.revenue.state.il.us. For the reasons discussed below, your petition cannot be granted at this time.

In your letter you have stated the following:

COMPANY, Inc., a PA "S" Corp. doing business in Illinois and other states hereby requests under IITA Section 304(f) permission to use the separate accounting method of apportionment due to the fact the current allocation provisions do not fairly represent the business activity in the State.

COMPANY, Inc. uses the separate accounting method applied consistently for all other states with nexus. It would be unfair to allocate net income based on any other method, consistently applied.

Response

Section 304(f) of the IITA provides:

If the allocation and apportionment provisions of subsections (a) through (e) and of subsection (h) do not fairly represent the extent of a person's business activity in this State, the person may petition for, or the Director may require, in respect of all or any part of the person's business activity, if reasonable:

- (1) Separate accounting;
- (2) The exclusion of any one or more factors;
- (3) The inclusion of one or more additional factors which will fairly represent the person's business activities in this State; or
- (4) The employment of any other method to effectuate an equitable allocation and apportionment of the person's business income.

IT 05-0022-GIL May 6, 2005 Page 2

Taxpayers who wish to use an alternative method of apportionment under this provision are required to file a petition complying with the requirements of 86 III. Adm. Code Section 100.3390, which may be found on the Department's web site at www.revenue.state.il.us. 86 III. Adm. Code Section 100.3390(c) provides:

A departure from the required apportionment method is allowed only where such methods do not accurately and fairly reflect business activity in Illinois. An alternative apportionment method may not be invoked, either by the Director or by a taxpayer, merely because it reaches a different apportionment percentage than the required statutory formula. However, if the application of the statutory formula will lead to a grossly distorted result in a particular case, a fair and accurate alternative method is appropriate. The party (the Director or the taxpayer) seeking to utilize an alternative apportionment method has the burden or going forward with the evidence and proving by clear and cogent evidence that the statutory formula results in the taxation of extraterritorial values and operates unreasonably and arbitrarily in attributing to Illinois a percentage of income which is out of all proportion to the business transacted in this State. In addition, the party seeking to use an alternative apportionment formula must go forward with the evidence and prove that the proposed alternative apportionment method fairly and accurately apportions income to Illinois based upon business activity in this State.

Your request contains no evidence whatsoever, and therefore fails to meet the requirements of this provision.

Please note that 86 III. Adm. Code Section 100.3390(e)(1) requires a petition to be filed at least 120 days prior to the due date (including extensions) for the first return for which permission is sought to use the alternative apportionment method. A petition filed April 29, 2005 will allow a taxpayer to use the requested method on original returns due on or after August 27, 2005, if granted.

As stated above, this is a general information letter which does not constitute a statement of policy that applies, interprets or prescribes the tax laws, and it is not binding on the Department. If you still believe that your petition should be granted, please supplement the petition in accordance with the provisions of 86 III. Adm. Code Section 100.3390. If you have any questions, you may contact me at (217) 782-7055.

Sincerely,

Paul S. Caselton Deputy General Counsel -- Income Tax